d	ase 2:09-ap-03558-SB Doc 1 Filed 12/29/09 Entered 12/29/09 14:26:04 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 12
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	STELLA A. HAVKIN, Bar No. 134334 LITWAK & HAVKIN ATTORNEYS AT LAW 6320 Canoga Avenue, Suite 1500 Woodland Hills, CA 91367 Telephone: (818) 999-1568 Facsimile: (818) 999-2867 Attorneys for Plaintiff VELTEX CORPORATION UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	In re:) Chapter 7
12 13	Case No: 2:09-bk-36378-SB Lee George Scharf and Kimberly Anne Scharf, Adv. No.:
14	Debtors. COMPLAINT FOR:
15 16 17	Veltex Corporation, Plaintiff, NONDISCHARGEABILITY OF DEBT PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §523(a)(4) AND 11 U.S.C. §523(a)(6)
18	vs.
19 20	Lee George Sharf,
21	Defendant.)
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	Complaint -1-

COMPLAINT FOR NONDISCHARGEABILITY OF DEBT

Veltex Corporation, as Plaintiff herein, complains of Debtor and Defendant Lee George Scharf ("Debtor" or "Scharf") as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this adversary proceeding pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §1334 and the reference order of the United States District Court for the Central District of California. This adversary proceeding relates to the Chapter 7 case of Lee George Scharf, Case No. 1:09-bk-36378SB, now pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California. The matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §157.
 - 2. Venue herein is proper pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §1409.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 3. On September 29, 2009, Debtor together with his wife, filed a voluntary petition for relief under the provisions of chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, and an order for relief was entered on that date.
- 4. When Debtor filed his petition, he was in the middle of legal proceedings in the Third Judicial District in and for the State of Utah which were pending under the caption and docket number of *Fletcher et. al. v. Veltex Corporation*, Civil Action No. 080907145 (the "*Fletecher* Suit). Specifically, those proceedings involved a challenge to Scharf's fraudulent conduct in the administration of an interim receivership to which he was appointed over Plaintiff Corporation, a Utah Corporation ("Veltex") which is publically listed in the pink sheets.
- 5. The *Fletcher* Suit was filed by some shareholders of Veltex in April, 2008 and initially sought to compel the existing management of Veltex to hold a shareholders meeting. Scharf was appointed as an interim receiver for Veltex in July, 2008, when the District Court enjoined a reverse merger and removed the existing management of the Corporation. Scharf's appointment was sponsored by the *Fletcher* plaintiffs, because Scharf claimed to have been the co-founder a major textile apparel company which is listed on the New York Stock Exchange. This claim has since proven to be a fabrication.

Complaint

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

25

26

24

27

28 Complaint

- It was contemplated that Scharf would marshal and preserve the assets of Veltex until 6. a shareholders meeting could be held and new management elected. Although urged by the Utah Judge to call a meeting as quickly a possible, Scharf never called the meeting. Instead, he proceeded to sell off Veltex's assets and pay himself, all without approval of the Court or receiver's reports to the Utah Court.
- 7. Scharf totally ignored the purpose of this appointment. He never sought to organize and hold a shareholder's meeting for Veltex as his oath required. Accordingly, the Fletcher plaintiffs organized, financed and held their own shareholder's meeting, after Scharf's resignation. This meeting was held on March 10, 2009, at my offices, 70 West Madison Street, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois. Scharf had nothing to do with the meeting, although in a purported receiver's report that he subsequently filed with the Utah Court, he claimed to have organized it. The claim was a fabrication.
- 8. Scharf finally submitted a report to the District Court in Fletcher on March 17, 2009. The Receiver's Report which Mr. Scharf filed with the District Court evidenced more than his insensitivity to the costs of organizing the shareholders meeting. It evidenced gross violations of Scharf's fiduciary duties as Veltex's receiver and fraud:
- (A) On August 15, 2008, within three (3) days of the confirmation of his appointment on August 12, 200, Scharf started selling the assets of Veltex without disclosure to the Court and Veltex plaintiffs or Court approval;
- (B) Scharf started paying himself without from the proceeds of the asset sales without disclosure to the Court and plaintiffs or Court approval on August 21, 2008, within nine (9) days of the confirmation of his appointment on August 12, 2008;
- (C) Scharf paid himself an aggregate amount of eighty thousand dollars (\$80,000), at the rate of twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000) per month until the money was extinguished from the sale of Veltex's assets;
- Scharf reimbursed himself over nine thousand dollars (\$9,000) in purported expenses without disclosure to the Court and plaintiffs or Court approval;
- (E) Scharf took three trips to various trade shows in Las Vegas and Atlanta at the -3-

4

9

7

14

12

17

19

28

expense of the Veltex estate, taking his wife on at least one of the trips to Las Vegas, all without disclosure to the Court and plaintiffs or Court approval;

- (F) Scharf returned various assets of Veltex to the prior rouge management of the Corporation, including an automobile titled to Veltex, various warehouse racks, inventory and office furniture and equipment, all without disclosure to the Court and plaintiffs or Court approval;
- (G) Scharf sold inventory and equipment to personal friends at below the market value of such assets or even a fair liquidation value; and
 - (H) Scharf never accounted for funds which he obtained from Veltex's bank accounts.
- 9. Scharf's liquidation of the Veltex's material assets and self-payment came as a complete surprise to the parties that supported his approval as a receiver. Throughout the summer and fall of 2008, Veltex's attorneys actively worked with Scharf to recover assets from the former officers and directors of Veltex. During the course of these activities, Veltex's attorneys were apprised by Scharf that the value of the goods which we had recovered was between \$350,000 and \$400,000 and perhaps even more depending on the purchasers which might be obtained.
- 10. The seriousness of Scharf's failure diversions is further illustrated by the fact that the Corporation was left with over Seventy Thousand Dollars (\$70,000) in outstanding obligations. This figure includes over Thirty Five Thousand Dollars (\$35,000) of expenses attributable to the organization of the shareholder's meeting, in addition to a like amount which was inherited from the prior management of Veltex.
- 11. On behalf of the Fletcher plaintiffs, Veltex's counsel filed objections to Scharf's Receiver's Report on May 6, 2009. In these objections, the Fletcher plaintiffs sought the deposit by Scharf of the fees and expense which he had taken from the Veltex estate and ultimately the charge back against Scharf of such fees, expenses and other damages as the Court believed appropriate. Scharf engaged counsel and responded to these objections in an lengthy affidavit and in additional Receiver's Report. A hearing was held by the District Court on August 17, 2009. At the conclusion of the hearing, the District Court ordered a full blown evidentiary hearing to be held on September 30, 2009, at which Scharf's presence was to be required. This hearing was subsequently continued on September

8

15

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

24, 2009, to allow Scharf's counsel to withdraw and to provide Scharf time to find new counsel. The new date set by the District Court for the hearing was October 21, 2009. Plaintiff believe and based thereon allege that the District Court was going to hold not only an evidentiary hearing but a contempt hearing as well.

12. Scharf's conduct of the receivership was part of continuous pattern of behavior to enrich himself at the expense of the *Fletcher* plaintiffs, Plaintiff corporation and the Utah Court that appointed him an interim receiver.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Nondischargeability against the Debtor Lee George Scharf - Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §523(a)(4))

- 13. Plaintiff adopts, incorporate by reference, and alleges herein all of the allegations set forth in paragraph 1 through 12, inclusive, as if set forth individually in this First Claim for Relief.
- 14. Pursuant to §523(a)(4) of the Unites States Bankruptcy Code, a debt incurred by a debtor who perpetrates fraud or defalcation while acting as a fiduciary shall be nondischargeable
- 15. At all relevant times, the Debtor was acting as a court appointed receiver for Plaintiff corporation.
- 16. The Debtor breached his fiduciary duty to Plaintiff when he engaged in the following acts, among others:
- (A) On August 15, 2008, within three (3) days of the confirmation of his appointment on August 12, 2008, Scharf started selling the assets of Veltex without disclosure to the Court and plaintiffs or Court approval;
- (B) Scharf started paying himself without from the proceeds of the asset sales without disclosure to the Court and plaintiffs or Court approval on August 21, 2008, within nine (9) days of the confirmation of his appointment on August 12, 2008;
- (C) Scharf paid himself an aggregate amount of eighty thousand dollars (\$80,000), at the rate of twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000) per month until the money was extinguished from the sale of Veltex's assets;
- Scharf reimbursed himself over nine thousand dollars (\$9,000) in purported Complaint -5-

4		oc 1 Filed 12/29/09 Main Document Pag	Entered 12/29/09 14:26:04 le 6 of 12	Desc
	expenses without disclosure to the Court and plaintiffs or Court approval;			

- (E) Scharf took three trips to various trade shows in Las Vegas and Atlanta at the expense of the Veltex estate, taking his wife on at least one of the trips to Las Vegas, all without disclosure to the Court and plaintiffs or Court approval;
- (F) Scharf returned various assets of Veltex to the prior rouge management of the Corporation, including an automobile titled to Veltex, various warehouse racks, inventory and office furniture and equipment, all without disclosure to the Court and plaintiffs or Court approval;
- (G) Scharf sold inventory and equipment to personal friends at below the market value of such assets or even a fair liquidation value; and
 - (H) Scharf never accounted for funds which he obtained from Veltex's bank accounts.
- 17. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount not presently ascertained but believed to be in excess of \$500,000 which should be exempted from discharge in the Debtor's bankruptcy. Based on the foregoing fraud and defalcation, Plaintiff requests that the debt owed to Plaintiff by the Debtor be deemed not dischargeable in his bankruptcy.
- 18. In committing the acts hereinabove described, the Debtor acted wilfully, maliciously, and with deliberate intent to deceive Plaintiff, and because thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive and exemplary damages in an amount to be determined at the time of trial.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Nondischargeability against the Debtor Lee George Scharf - Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §523(a)(6))

- 19. Plaintiff adopts, incorporate by reference, and alleges herein all of the allegations set forth in paragraph 1 through 12, inclusive, as if set forth individually in this Second Claim for Relief.
- 20. Pursuant to §523(a)(6) of the Unites States Bankruptcy Code, a debt incurred by a debtor who engages in wilful and malicious conduct which results in damages shall be nondischargeable. Sharf engaged in wilful and malicious conduct as well as in the conversion of Plaintiff's assets.
- 21. While acting as a court appointed receiver for Plaintiff corporation, the Debtor engaged in the following wilful and malicious acts, among others:
- (A) On August 15, 2008, within three (3) days of the confirmation of his appointment

Complaint

plaintiffs or Court approval;

of Veltex's assets;

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

confirmation of his appointment on August 12, 2008; (C) Scharf paid himself an aggregate amount of eighty thousand dollars (\$80,000), at the rate of twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000) per month until the money was extinguished from the sale

disclosure to the Court and plaintiffs or Court approval on August 21, 2008, within nine (9) days of the

on August 12, 2008, Scharf started selling the assets of Veltex without disclosure to the Court and

(B) Scharf started paying himself without from the proceeds of the asset sales without

- (D) Scharf reimbursed himself over nine thousand dollars (\$9,000) in purported expenses without disclosure to the Court and plaintiffs or Court approval;
- (E) Scharf took three trips to various trade shows in Las Vegas and Atlanta at the expense of the Veltex estate, taking his wife on at least one of the trips to Las Vegas, all without disclosure to the Court and plaintiffs or Court approval;
- (F) Scharf returned various assets of Veltex to the prior rouge management of the Corporation, including an automobile titled to Veltex, various warehouse racks, inventory and office furniture and equipment, all without disclosure to the Court and plaintiffs or Court approval;
- (G) Scharf sold inventory and equipment to personal friends at below the market value of such assets or even a fair liquidation value; and
 - (H) Scharf never accounted for funds which he obtained from Veltex's bank accounts.
- 22. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount not presently ascertained but believed to be in excess of \$500,000 which should be exempted from discharge in the Debtor's bankruptcy. Based on the foregoing fraud and defalcation, Plaintiff requests that the debt owed to Plaintiff by the Debtor be deemed not dischargeable in his bankruptcy.
- 23. In committing the acts hereinabove described, the Debtor acted wilfully, maliciously, and with deliberate intent to deceive Plaintiff, and because thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive and exemplary damages in an amount to be determined at the time of trial.

Complaint

///

Case 2:09-ap-03558-SB Doc 1 Filed 12/29/09 Entered 12/29/09 14:26:04 Main Document Page 8 of 12 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 1 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests judgment against Debtor and Defendant Lee George Scharf 2 as follows: 3 1. On its First Claim for Relief, for judgment against Debtor and Defendant Lee George 4 Scharf. determining that the debt owed by the Debtor to Plaintiff, is not dischargeable in his bankruptcy 5 case and for judgment according to proof. 6 2. On its Second Claim for Relief, for judgment against Debtor and Defendant Lee George 7 Scharf. determining that the debt owed by the Debtor to Plaintiff, is not dischargeable in his bankruptcy 8 9 case and for judgment according to proof. 10 3. That Plaintiff be awarded its costs of suit incurred herein and for such other and further relief as this Court deems proper. 11 12 13 14 15 LITWAK & HAVKIN 16 17 18 19 Dated: December 29, 2009 20 Attorney for Plaintiff VELTEX CORPORATION 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

-8-

28

Complaint

FORM B104 (08/07)

2007 USBC, Central District of California

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEET (Instructions on Page 2)		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (Court Use Only)	
PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS		
Veltex Corporation	Lee George Scharf		
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.) Stella A. Havkin, #134334, Litwak & Havkin, 6320 Canoga Avenue, #1500, Woodland Hills, CA 91367 (818) 888-1568	ATTORNEYS (If P Dennis E. Megolo 350 S. Crenshaw		
PARTY (Check One Box Only) □ Debtor □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin ☑ Creditor □ Other □ Trustee CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE OF ACTION)	PARTY (Check O ☑ Debtor ☐ Creditor ☐ Trustee FION, INCLUDING	□ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin □ Other	
(Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1		use as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)	
FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property	FRBP 7001(6) - D	Dischargeability (continued)	
11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property		rability - §523(a)(5), domestic support	
12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference	 68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury 63-Dischargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan 		
13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer	 64-Dischargeability - §523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other than domestic support) 65-Dischargeability - other 		
14-Recovery of money/property - other			
FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien	FRBP 7001(7) – I	njunctive Relief	
21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property	71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay 72-Injunctive relief – other		
FRBP 7001(3) - Approval of Sale of Property	☐ 72-Injunctive	relief – other	
31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)	FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest 81-Subordination of claim or interest		
FRBP 7001(4) - Objection/Revocation of Discharge	L 01-Subordina	tion of claim of interest	
41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e)	FRBP 7001(9) De	claratory Judgment ry judgment	
FRBP 7001(5) – Revocation of Confirmation 51-Revocation of confirmation	FRBP 7001(10) Determination of Removed Action 01-Determination of removed claim or cause		
FRBP 7001(6) - Dischargeability	O4h		
66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims	Other SS-SIPA Cas	se – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa et.seg.	
62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud	02-Other (e.g	other actions that would have been brought in state	
67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny	court if ur	nrelated to bankruptcy case)	
(continued next column)			
☐ Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law	☐ Check if this	is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23	
☐ Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	Demand \$ 500,	000.00	
Other Relief Sought			

Case 2:09-ap-03558-SB

FORM B104 (08/07), page 2

Doc 1 Filed 12/29/09 Entered 12/29/09 14:26:04 Page 10 of 12 Main Document

2007 USBC, Central District of California

BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES NAME OF DEBTOR BANKRUPTCY CASE NO. Lee George Scharf 2:09-bk-36378SB DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING **DIVISIONAL OFFICE** NAME OF JUDGE Central Los Angeles Samuel L. Bufford RELATED ADVERSARY PROCEEDING (IF ANY) **PLAINTIFF** DEFENDANT ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO. DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDING **DIVISIONAL OFFICE** NAME OF JUDGE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF) PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF) DATE Stella A. Havkin 12/29/09

INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also must complete and file Form 104, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 104 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and Defendents. Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not presented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

Attorney or Party Name, Address, Telephone & FAX Numbers, and California Stella A. Havkin, #134334 Litwak & Havkin Attorneys at Law 6320 Canoga Avenue, #1500 Woodland Hills, CA 91367 Telephone (818) 999-1568 Facsimile (818) 999-2867 Attorney for Plaintiff Veltex Corporation	tate Bar Number	FOR COURT USE ONLY
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
^{In re:} Lee George Scharf, Kimberly Anne Scharf		CHAPTER 7
		CASE NUMBER 2:09-bk-36378SB
	Debtor.	ADVERSARY NUMBER
Veltex Corporation,	Plaintiff(s),	(The Boxes and Blank Lines below are for the Court's Use Only) (Do Not Fill Them In)
vs.		SUMMONS AND NOTICE OF
Lee George Scharf,	Defendant(s).	STATUS CONFERENCE
TO THE DEFENDANT: A Complaint has been filed by the F with the Court a written pleading, in duplicate, in response to the to the party shown in the upper left-hand corner of this page.	ne Complaint. Y e. Unless you	ou must also send a copy of your written response have filed in duplicate and served a responsive
with the Court a written pleading, in duplicate, in response to the to the party shown in the upper left-hand corner of this pag pleading by, the Court main the Complaint.	ne Complaint. Y e. Unless you ay enter a judgn	ou must also send a copy of your written response have filed in duplicate and served a responsive nent by default against you for the relief demanded
with the Court a written pleading, in duplicate, in response to the to the party shown in the upper left-hand corner of this pag	ne Complaint. Y e. Unless you ay enter a judgn	ou must also send a copy of your written response have filed in duplicate and served a responsive nent by default against you for the relief demanded been set for:
with the Court a written pleading, in duplicate, in response to the to the party shown in the upper left-hand corner of this pag pleading by, the Court main the Complaint. A Status Conference on the proceeding commenced by the	ne Complaint. Yee. Unless you ay enter a judgm Complaint has Courtr	ou must also send a copy of your written response have filed in duplicate and served a responsive nent by default against you for the relief demanded been set for:
with the Court a written pleading, in duplicate, in response to the to the party shown in the upper left-hand corner of this pag pleading by, the Court main the Complaint. A Status Conference on the proceeding commenced by the Hearing Date: Time:	ne Complaint. Yee. Unless you ay enter a judgm Complaint has Courtr	ou must also send a copy of your written response have filed in duplicate and served a responsive nent by default against you for the relief demanded been set for: Floor:
with the Court a written pleading, in duplicate, in response to the to the party shown in the upper left-hand corner of this pag pleading by, the Court main the Complaint. A Status Conference on the proceeding commenced by the Hearing Date: Time:	ne Complaint. Yee. Unless you ay enter a judgm Complaint has Courtr	ou must also send a copy of your written response have filed in duplicate and served a responsive nent by default against you for the relief demanded been set for: Floor: est Fourth Street, Santa Ana
with the Court a written pleading, in duplicate, in response to the to the party shown in the upper left-hand corner of this pag pleading by, the Court main the Complaint. A Status Conference on the proceeding commenced by the Hearing Date: Time: 255 East Temple Street, Los Angeles 21041 Burbank Boulevard, Woodland Hills	complaint. Ye. Unless you ay enter a judgm Complaint has Courtr 411 W 1415 S htticipated to take of holding a Statuet forth above a stee the anticipate.	ou must also send a copy of your written response have filed in duplicate and served a responsive nent by default against you for the relief demanded been set for: Floor: Floor: Pest Fourth Street, Santa Ana State Street, Santa Barbara Le less than two (2) hours, the parties may stipulate us Conference. Such a stipulation must be lodged and is subject to Court approval. The Court may

Summons and Notice of Status Conference - Page 2

F 7004-1

In re Lee George Scharf, Kimberly Anne Scharf			CHAPTER 7					
		Debtor.	CASE NUMBER 2:09-bk-36378SB					
	PROOF OF SERVICE							
STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angels							
	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is as follows: 6320 Canoga Avenue, #1500, Woodland Hills, CA 91367							
the pos	gular Mail Service: On atus Conference (and any instructions attached the Defendant(s) at the following address(es) by plac stage thereon fully prepaid in the United States Mail th below.	ing a true and corre	ct copy thereof in a sealed envelope with					
Sta	rsonal Service: On atus Conference (and any instructions attached then ade on the Defendant(s) at the address(es) set forth	reto), together with t	e of the foregoing Summons and Notice of he Complaint filed in this proceeding, was					
4. Defenda	nt(s) and address(es) upon which service was ma	de:						
		Names and Addr	resses continued on attached page					
declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.								
Dated:								
Type Name		Signatur	e					